

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/759,805	01/12/2001	Edwin Michael Grote	P04846US0 PHI 1378	2730
27142	7590 10/17/2003		EXAMINER	
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. ATTN: PIONEER HI-BRED			KRUSE, DAVID H	
801 GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 3200)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			1638	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/759,805	GROTE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David H Kruse	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 9/2/03 & 9/25/03 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-4,63-67,83 and 91</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 68-82 and 8	<u>34-90</u> .					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: newly presented claims 68-82 and 84-90 are deemed to be directed to a new, distinct method and products non-elected by original presentation. Claims 68-82 and 84-90 would be classified in class 800, subclass 266, for example, Claims 1-4, 63 67, 83 and 81 would be classified in class 800, subclass 320.1, for example. The methods of claims 68, 70, 73, 76, 78, 80, 84 and 89 are not directed to methods of making or method of using the hybrid maize plant of claim 1, but are directed to method of using the parental inbred lines of the hybrid maize plant of claim 1. Hence, the hybrid maize plant of claim 1 is not required to practice said methods and are thus unrelated and require a separate search of the prior art. Consequently the instant amendments are deemed to raise new issues tha would require further consideration and/or search.

AMY J. NELSON, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600